- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL., S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J. P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1980). MULTAN 80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univ. de York, Angleterre.
- NEWTON, M. D., JEFFREY, G. A. & TAKAGI, S. (1979). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 1997–2002.
- Nordensen, S. & Hvoslef, J. (1981). Acta Cryst. B37, 373-378.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX 76. Programme pour la détermination de structures cristallines. Univ. de Cambridge, Angleterre.
- SIM, G. A. (1961). Computing Methods and the Phase Problem in X-ray Crystal Analysis, edité par R. PEPINSKY, J. M. ROBERTSON & J. C. SPEAKMAN, pp. 227–235. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- THUNUS, L., LAPIÈRE, C. L. & GHYS, A. (1979). Ann. Pharm. Fr. 37, 451–460.

Acta Cryst. (1982). B38, 1500-1503

# A Keto Lactam Acid from Lycoctonine\*

BY M. CYGLER AND M. PRZYBYLSKA

Division of Biological Sciences, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada K1A 0R6

## AND O. E. EDWARDS

Division of Chemistry, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada K1A 0R6

(Received 22 September 1981; accepted 27 November 1981)

### Abstract

 $C_{24}H_{33}NO_8$  is orthorhombic, space group  $P2_12_12_1$ , with a = 13.810(1), b = 15.527(2), c = 10.644(1) Å, Z = 4,  $D_c = 1.349$ ,  $D_m = 1.341$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup> (at 296 K, by flotation in toluene and carbon tetrachloride);  $\mu$ (Cu  $K\alpha$ ) = 0.80 mm<sup>-1</sup>. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined to R = 0.037 for 2475 observed reflexions. There is a strong intramolecular hydrogen bond between the adjacent COOH and CO groups. This work shows that the configuration at C(1) in lycoctonine and related alkaloids is the inverse of that previously accepted.

### Introduction

During early attempts to solve the structure of lycoctonine by chemical means, nitrous acid deamination of an amino keto lactam (I), derived unambiguously from the alkaloid, was studied.

Unexpected molecular rearrangements had taken place giving two main products: a hydroxy keto lactam (II) and an aldehydo lactam acid (III), in 50 and 20% yield, respectively. Since difficulty was encountered in assigning structures to these products (Edwards, 1981), despite knowledge of the lycoctonine structure from X-ray analysis (Przybylska & Marion, 1956), X-ray investigations were undertaken of their corresponding derivatives: the keto lactam acid (IV) and the acetoxy lactam acid (V). These compounds were chosen because of the high quality of their crystals. The structure of (V) was described in an earlier paper (Cygler, Przybylska & Edwards, 1982). This work proved that the structure of the keto lactam acid is as shown in (IV), and thus that of the hydroxy keto lactam is (II).



Compound (IV) crystallized from ether as colourless prisms with m.p. 425 K. The space group was established from precession films. Final cell dimensions and intensities were measured at room temperature on a Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer with an irregular crystal fragment of dimensions 0.32-0.45 mm. Ni-

© 1982 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> Issued as NRCC No. 20005.

## Table 1. Final fractional coordinates (for C, N, O $\times 10^4$ ; for H $\times 10^3$ ) and isotropic temperature factors, with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

From the numbering of H atoms the number of the parent C atom can be derived by omitting the last digit. H(1) is attached to O(2). For non-hydrogen atoms  $B = (8\pi^2/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* a_i a_j$ .

	x	У	Z	B (A <sup>2</sup> )
O(1)	495 (1)	849 (1)	1619 (2)	4.9 (0.1)
O(2)	-1926 (1)	-1151 (l)	4695 (2)	6.1 (0.1)
<b>O</b> (3)	-2407 (1)	186 (2)	4961 (2)	6.4 (0.1)
O(4)	-215 (1)	-1449 (1)	4052 (2)	5.1 (0.1)
O(5)	-436 (1)	1174 (1)	7077 (2)	4.3 (0.1)
O(6)	1337 (1)	266 (1)	7350 (1)	4.1 (0.1)
O(7)	2711 (1)	2558 (1)	6424 (2)	3.8 (0.1)
O(8)	4119 (1)	1581 (1)	4615 (2)	5.1 (0.1)
cúi	-191(2)	1030 (2)	2574 (2)	3.9 (0.1)
C(2)	-951 (2)	319 (2)	2746 (2)	4.7 (0.1)
$\tilde{C}(3)$	-1792 (2)	-316(2)	4650 (3)	4·9 (0·1)
C(4)	-818 (2)	8 (2)	4115 (2)	3.8 (0.1)
C(5)	-444 (1)	813 (1)	4808 (2)	3.3 (0.1)
C(6)	-100 (2)	579 (1)	6162 (2)	3.4 (0.1)
C(7)	1019 (1)	529 (1)	6371 (2)	3.1 (0.1)
C(8)	1600 (1)	902 (1)	5323 (2)	2.8 (0.1)
C(9)	1258 (1)	1886 (1)	5378 (2)	2.9 (0.1)
C(10)	789 (1)	2000 (1)	4095 (2)	3.2 (0.1)
C(11)	327 (1)	1121 (1)	3842 (2)	3.1 (0.1)
C(12)	1694 (2)	2236 (1)	3259 (2)	3.8 (0.1)
C(13)	2586 (2)	2257 (1)	4149 (2)	3.7 (0.1)
C(14)	2092 (2)	2527 (1)	5361 (2)	3.4 (0.1)
C(15)	2697 (1)	838(1)	5375 (2)	$3 \cdot 2 (0 \cdot 1)$
C(16)	3125 (2)	1398 (2)	4318 (2)	3.6 (0.1)
C(17)	1172 (1)	523(1)	4069 (2)	2.9(0.1)
N	897(1)	-396 (1)	4158 (2)	$3 \cdot 3 (0 \cdot 1)$
C(19)	-20(2)	-0/1(1)	4107 (2)	$3 \cdot 8 (0 \cdot 1)$
C(20)	155 (3)	1067 (4)	409 (3)	9.1(0.1)
C(21)	1075(2)	-1032(1)	4052 (2)	5.2 (0.1)
C(22)	1077(2)	-1300(2)	3292(3)	$5 \cdot 3 (0 \cdot 1)$
C(23)	-1314(2)	2880 (2)	7503 (2)	3.3(0.1)
C(24)	2238 (2) 4710 (4)	1471(5)	3568 (7)	6.9(0.2)
C(23)	4769 (4)	983 (4)	4601 (8)	6.6 (0.2)
H(1)	-129(3)	-146(2)	456 (4)	9.3(1.1)
H(11)	-54(2)	159 (2)	234(2)	4.5 (0.6)
H(21)	-86(2)	-14(2)	218(3)	6.6 (0.8)
H(22)	-160(2)	50 (2)	269 (3)	5.9 (0.7)
H(51)	-97 (2)	122 (1)	488 (2)	3.2 (0.5)
H(61)	-36(2)	0 (1)	636 (2)	3.0 (0.4)
H(91)	80 (2)	199 (1)	611 (2)	3.3 (0.5)
H(101)	31 (1)	244 (1)	410 (2)	2.9 (0.4)
H(121)	158 (2)	284 (2)	289 (2)	3.7 (0.5)
H(122)	178 (2)	181 (2)	250 (2)	4.2 (0.5)
H(131)	308 (2)	269 (2)	380 (2)	4.8 (0.6)
H(141)	177 (2)	313 (1)	528 (2)	3.6 (0.5)
H(151)	294 (2)	106 (1)	620 (2)	3.4 (0.5)
H(152)	288 (2)	24 (2)	527 (2)	3.9 (0.5)
H(161)	312 (2)	106 (1)	348 (2)	3.4 (0.5)
H(171)	163 (1)	58 (1)	335 (2)	2.9 (0.4)
H(201)	-2(3)	48 (3)	36 (4)	$11 \cdot 2(1 \cdot 2)$
H(202)	62 (3)	119 (3)	-21 (5)	12.2 (1.4)
H(203)	-40(3)	125 (3)	27(5)	12.0 (1.4)
П(211) П(212)	$\frac{22}{(2)}$	-13(2)	309 (2)	5.0 (0.6)
LI(212)	148 (2)	-146(2)	550 (2) 601 (2)	4·0 (U·0)
H(222)	211 (2)	-102(2) -107(2)	515 (2)	5.4 (0.6)
H(222)	$\frac{230}{124}$	-157(2) -183(2)	550 (3)	8.4 (1.0)
H(231)	-124(2)	-105(2) 36(2)	808 (3)	7.1 (0.8)
H(232)	-183(2)	85 (2)	704 (2)	4.3 (0.5)

## Table 1 (cont.)

	x	у	z	B (Å <sup>2</sup> )
H(233)	-147 (2)	139 (2)	825 (3)	7.5 (0.9)
H(241)	169 (2)	244 (2)	778 (3)	7.6 (0.8)
H(242)	274 (2)	301 (2)	818 (3)	5.7 (0.7)
H(243)	192 (3)	351 (2)	734 (3)	8.6 (0.9)
H(251)	459 (5)	98 (4)	284 (7)	8.6 (1.8)
H(252)	539 (3)	147 (3)	392 (5)	4.0 (1.1)
H(253)	474 (6)	209 (6)	313 (10)	13.1 (2.7)
H(1251)	542 (4)	106 (4)	490 (S)	4.7 (1.3)
H(1252)	460 (4)	55 (4)	374 (6)	6.0 (1.5)
H(1253)	456 (6)	64 (6)	555 (9)	10.0 (2.4)

filtered Cu Ka radiation was used with the following instrumental settings: scan mode  $\omega/2\theta$ ;  $1^\circ \le \theta \le 75^\circ$ ;  $\Delta \omega = 0.8 + 0.2 \tan \theta$  (°); aperture = 3.0 + 0.5 tan  $\theta$ (mm). Two scans were made in opposite directions. 2475 independent reflexions (93%), with  $I > \sigma(I_{net})$ , were observed out of a total of 2662. Absorption corrections were not applied. Four reflexions, which suffered from extinction, were excluded from the refinement.

The structure was solved by MULTAN 78 (Main, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1978) having permuted five phases in 50 sets (using magic integers). The E map and weighted Fourier syntheses revealed all atoms of the molecule. Refinement was by block-diagonal least squares with anisotropic temperature factors for all non-H atoms (Hanson, Herman, Lea & Skillman, 1964) and isotropic for H (Stewart, Davidson & Simpson, 1965). The weighting scheme  $\sqrt{w} = 16/|F_o|$  was applied only if  $|F_o| > 16$ . The final R = 0.037 and  $R_w = 0.043$  were obtained for all observed reflexions. The methyl group attached to O(8) was disordered as two peaks were observed, C(25)and C(125). Both were refined independently, giving final occupancies of 0.52 and 0.48, respectively. It was possible to locate H atoms attached to both of them. The final mean and maximum shift/e.s.d for all atoms were 0.20 and 0.92, respectively.

The difference map, obtained towards the end of the refinement in order to locate the H atoms of the disordered methyl group, did not show any other significant peaks.

Calculations were carried out with the NRC program system (Ahmed, Hall, Pippy & Huber, 1973).

The final atomic coordinates are presented in Table 1.\*

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36541 (15 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### Discussion

A view of the molecule produced by Johnson's (1965) ORTEP program is shown in Fig. 1. The two ellipsoids for C(25) and C(125), of the disordered methyl group, are shown at the top of the molecule. The absolute configuration was not determined, but it must be the same as that of lycoctonine (Przybylska & Marion, 1959). The C(1) configuration is the same as in the acetoxy lactam acid (V) (Cygler, Przybylska & Edwards, 1982) but opposite to that given for (+)de(oxymethylene)lycoctonine hvdroiodide monohydrate (Przybylska & Marion, 1956). The lycoctonine samples from various sources used throughout the years in this laboratory have been shown to be identical (Barnes & Przybylska, 1953; Edwards & Przybylska, 1982); hence the configuration now found for the 1-methoxy group must be the correct one. Fuller comment on this corrected assignment will be published elsewhere.

The C-H lengths vary from 0.82 to 1.17 Å with e.s.d.'s  $\leq$  0.09 Å.

The bond lengths and angles are presented in Fig. 2, where the second atom of the disordered methyl group C(125), is not shown for the sake of clarity. O(8)-C(125) is 1.292 (7) Å and  $\angle$  C(16)-O(8)-C(125) is 121.2 (4)°.

The IR spectrum indicated a very strong

intramolecular OH···O=C-N bond ( $\nu_{max}$  1604 cm<sup>-1</sup>). It exists between the adjacent COOH and CO groups  $[O(2)\cdots O(4) 2.503 (3), O-H 1.01 (4), H(1)\cdots O(4) 1.58 (4) Å and <math>\angle O(2)-H(1)\cdots O(4)$  149 (3)°]. It is shown by a broken line in Fig. 1. The appreciable shortening of the C(3)-O(2) donor bond to 1.311 (4) Å and the degree of lengthening of the C(19)-O(4) bond to 1.240 (3) Å are in agreement with the strength of the hydrogen bond (Jeffrey, Ruble, McMullan, DeFrees, Binkley & Pople, 1980).

The angles of the five-membered rings vary from 99.3 to  $106.8^{\circ}$ .



Fig. 1. A stereoscopic view showing thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. O atoms are cross-hatched.



Fig. 2. (a) Bond lengths (Å), e.s.d.'s not given are 0.003 Å. (b) Bond angles (°); e.s.d.'s are 0.2 to  $0.3^{\circ}$ . (c) Torsion angles (°); e.s.d.'s are  $\le 0.6^{\circ}$ .

All rings in the molecule are *cis* fused. The endocyclic torsion angles are shown in Fig. 2(*c*) and the data concerning mean planes in Table 2. Fivemembered rings *A* and *D* adopt envelope conformations with C(5) and C(14) at 0.71 and 0.77 Å, respectively, from the plane of the other ring atoms. Ring *C* is also of the envelope form, but considerably distorted, with C(11) at 0.59 and C(10) at -0.18 Å from the plane of C(8), C(9) and C(17). The six-membered ring *B* is close to an envelope form but distorted into a halfchair conformation, as C(5) is at 0.79 and C(11) at 
 Table 2. Mean planes and atomic displacements from

 them

(a) Parameters for the planes lX + mY + nZ = p, where X,Y,Z are in Å

Ring	l	m	n	р
A	0.6754	-0.6745	-0.2982	-1·0911
B	0.0025	-0.0332	-0.9994	-6.2718
С	0.8284	0.2751	-0.4880	-4.6452
D	0.1612	-0.9682	-0.1914	1.6191
Ε	-0.4445	-0.2225	0.8677	-2.9382
F	0.1131	-0.9270	-0.3577	-0.7571

(b) Deviations from the mean planes ( $\dot{A} \times 10^3$ ) (e.s.d. = 0.002 Å)

Ring A		Ring B		Ring C	
C(1) C(2) C(4) C(11) C(5)*	9 8 5 5 709	C(4) C(19) N C(17) C(5)* C(11)*	14 -31 32 -15 791 -222	C(8) C(9) C(17) C(10)* C(11)*	0 0 -179 592
Ring D		Ring E		Ring F	
C(9) C(10) C(12) C(13)	5 8 8 5	C(9) C(8) C(16) C(13)	94 87 91 98	C(5) C(11) C(7) C(8)	21 -23 -22 24

\* Atoms not included in the calculation of the plane.

-0.22 Å from the plane calculated for the remaining atoms. Ring E exists in a conformation intermediate between boat and twist with C(14) and C(15) displaced above the plane by 0.81 and 0.61 Å, respectively. Ring F is an envelope slightly distorted towards a chair with C(17) at -0.93 Å and C(6) at only 0.14 Å from the plane for the other four atoms.

The groups C(4), C(19), N, O(4), and O(2), C(3), C(4), O(3) are planar ( $\chi^2 = 0.7$  and 38.1, respectively) but C(6), C(7), C(8), O(6) is not ( $\chi^2 = 246$ ), undoubtedly due to the strain present in ring F.

A comparison of the endocyclic torsion angles for the fused *CDE* ring system in the keto lactam acid with those for the acetoxy lactam acid revealed considerable differences due to the strain imposed by the ring F in the title compound, which is not present in (V). The largest differences are for the values of ring C [with the exception, as expected, for bond C(9)–C(10), which is not joined to ring F] and for C(8)–C(15) of ring E. These differences vary from 11 to 26°, whereas all the remaining differences in torsion angles of this moiety vary from 0.7 to 8.2°. Ring C in the acetoxy lactam acid has an undistorted envelope form with C(10) at -0.48 Å as opposed to C(11) being at the flap in the present structure. Rings D in both structures have similar conformations. Ring E in the acetoxy lactam acid exists in a slightly distorted boat form, the asymmetry parameter  $\Delta C_s$  being 4.7° (Duax & Norton, 1975). In the present compound its conformation has been shifted towards a twist form with appreciable deviation from mirror symmetry along the C(14)-C(15) line,  $\Delta C_s$  being 21.5°.

There are no intermolecular contacts closer than the sum of the appropriate van der Waals radii. The shortest  $H \cdots H$  distances are  $\geq 2.42$  Å.

The authors wish to thank Mrs M. E. Pippy and Mrs H. M. Sheppard for their assistance with the computations.

### References

- AHMED, F. R., HALL, S. R., PIPPY, M. E. & HUBER, C. P. (1973). NRC Crystallographic Programs for the IBM 360 System, Accession Nos. 133–147 in J. Appl. Cryst. 6, 309–346.
- BARNES, W. H. & PRZYBYLSKA, M. (1953). Can. J. Chem. 31, 511–512.
- Cygler, M., Przybylska, M. & Edwards, O. E. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 479–482.
- DUAX, W. L. & NORTON, D. A. (1975). Editors. Atlas of Steroid Structure, Vol. 1, p. 18. New York: Plenum.
- EDWARDS, O. E. (1981). Can. J. Chem. 59, 3039-3043.
- EDWARDS, O. E. & PRZYBYLSKA, M. (1982). In preparation.
- HANSON, H. P., HERMAN, F., LEA, J. D. & SKILLMAN, S. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 1040–1044.
- JEFFREY, G. A., RUBLE, J. R., MCMULLAN, R. K., DEFREES, D. J., BINKLEY, J. S. & POPLE, J. A. (1980). *Acta Cryst.* B**36**, 2292–2299.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794, revised. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- MAIN, P., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J. P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1978). MULTAN 78. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium.
- PRZYBYLSKA, M. & MARION, L. (1956). Can. J. Chem. 34, 185–187.
- PRZYBYLSKA, M. & MARION, L. (1959). Can. J. Chem. 37, 1843–1845.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.